UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,021	09/18/2006	Young-Woong Park	RNT0101PUSA	3186
22045 BROOKS KUS	7590 07/11/200 HMAN P.C.	EXAMINER		
1000 TOWN CENTER			MASKELL, MICHAEL P	
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2881	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/599,021	PARK, YOUNG-WOONG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MICHAEL MASKELL	2881			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Section 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)	r election requirement. r. ure: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/20/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/599,021 Page 2

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "supporter of filter" in claim 3 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. Figures 4 and 5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. The examiner is basing this objection on his

Application/Control Number: 10/599,021

Page 3

Art Unit: 2881

interpretation of the unclear term "in existence" in the specification's description of Figs. 4 and 5. If this interpretation is correct, the proper labels must be added. If not, this must be clarified and this objection will be withdrawn. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: the term "in existing" is used to describe what is shown in Figs 4 and 5. The examiner's best guess is that this is intended to mean that Figs. 4 and 5 display prior art apparatus. Another unclear statement in the specification that so obscures the subject matter of claim 2 as to preclude examination of that claim is the phrase "the distance from the side of the SSTD to the tangent line of the detector is between 5 mm and 20 mm" in paragraph 15. Previously, the specification had stated that the SSTD *is*

the detector, so how can there be a distance from the side of the SSTD to the detector? Further, what is the meaning of "the tangent line of the detector" in both this paragraph and claim 2? According to the drawings, the detector is square-shaped; a tangent line applies to a circle. The applicant must clarify this, as well as fix the many other instances of non-idiomatic English throughout the specification. A non-exhaustive list of examples of locations of non-idiomatic English with unclear grammar of varying severity includes paragraphs 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-15 and 44-47.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.
- 6. Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,021 Page 5

Art Unit: 2881

Cir. 1999). It is unclear what the term "tangent line" in claim 2 is intended to mean, since the accepted definition is a line that intersects a circle at a single point, while the detector that this "tangent line" is supposed to belong to is only depicted as square in shape in the applicant's drawings. The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

Examination of claim 2 on the merits is precluded until the applicant has clearly defined in the disclosure what is meant by "an inside distance from 5mm to 20mm from the tangent line of the detector's inner edge." The applicant must take care not to introduce any new matter when amending the claims and specification to remedy this deficiency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carossi, et al (U.S. Patent 4,700,067 A).

Regarding claim 1, Carossi discloses an alpha track detector for measuring a time integral concentration of radon, comprising:

a detecting part (4);

a connecting part (8); and

a filter holder (26).

Regarding claim 3, Carossi discloses wherein the connecting part includes a supporter of filter that coincides with the filter holder (the examiner's best interpretation of this claim in view of the non-idiomatic English specification is that the connecting part works in concert with the filter holder to hold the filter in place, while allowing fluid communication between the filter and the detecting part without direct contact between the filter and the detecting part. Grid 8 of Carossi's apparatus, which serves as the "connecting part" in claim 1, can be seen in Figs. 3 and 4 of Carossi to perform this exact function.).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL MASKELL whose telephone number is (571)270-3210. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-5PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on 571/272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,021 Page 7

Art Unit: 2881

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Maskell/ Examiner, Art Unit 2881 05 July 2008

/ROBERT KIM/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2881